Theory and Practice of Science and Technology
2026, VOL. 7, NO. 1, 87-89
DOI: 10.47297/taposatWSP2633-456918.20260701

Artificial Intelligence Applications in Asset Management: Structure, Strategy, and Governance

Shuo Wen

University of Sanya, Saxo Fintech Business School, Sanya, Hainan, 572022, China

ABSTRACT
This study examines artificial intelligence applications in asset management through analysis of 178 peer-reviewed studies and industry implementations. We identify six core domains: AI-driven portfolio management, financial forecasting, robo-advisory services, risk management, strategic decision-making, and adoption enablers. Research demonstrates that AI enhances operational efficiency while reconfiguring strategic practices within financial institutions. Key findings reveal successful AI implementation requires hybrid approaches combining machine intelligence with human expertise, particularly in portfolio management where pure algorithmic signals can increase trading costs. However, challenges including algorithmic opacity, ethical concerns, and talent shortages hinder widespread adoption. This framework provides guidance for practitioners, regulators, and researchers in leveraging AI for modern investment management.

KEYWORDS
Artificial intelligence; Asset management; Portfolio optimization; Financial forecasting; Machine learning; Robo-advisory

1 Introduction

Artificial intelligence has emerged as a transformative force in investment management, fundamentally reshaping decision-making processes across portfolio construction, risk assessment, and strategic planning [1]. The convergence of big data, computational power, and algorithmic innovation has accelerated AI deployment in asset allocation and client servicing, creating unprecedented opportunities for operational enhancement [2].

Recent empirical research demonstrates increasing AI adoption among mutual funds, with successful implementation requiring both technological sophistication and human expertise [3]. This hybrid approach distinguishes genuine AI adoption from marketing claims, revealing that funds effectively combining machine learning with managerial oversight achieve superior performance [4]. Contemporary applications span from regulatory compliance systems ensuring data consistency [5] to predictive maintenance platforms leveraging operational data [6].

This study addresses: How is AI transforming asset management practices? What methods effectively measure genuine AI adoption? How does AI integration affect performance? What are primary implementation challenges?

2 Methodology

This research employs a mixed-methods approach combining systematic literature review with case study analysis to capture both theoretical foundations and practical applications.

2.1 Systematic Literature Review

The literature review followed PRISMA guidelines for rigor and reproducibility. Searches were conducted in Web of Science, Scopus, IEEE Xplore, and SSRN for publications from January 2018 to December 2025. The search combined terms for technology (“artificial intelligence,” “machine learning,” “deep learning”), application (“asset management,” “portfolio management,” “mutual funds”), and outcomes (“performance,” “risk,” “adoption”). Initial retrieval yielded 847 unique records. After title/abstract screening and full-text assessment, 178 peer-reviewed studies met inclusion criteria. Quality was appraised using a 12-point instrument covering theoretical grounding, methodological rigor, and contribution significance.

2.2 Thematic Categorization

An inductive-deductive approach organized the literature into six themes: (1) AI-driven portfolio management (n=42); (2) financial forecasting (n=38); (3) robo-advisory systems (n=24); (4) risk management (n=31); (5) strategic decision-making (n=23); and (6) adoption enablers and barriers (n=20). This framework enabled systematic comparison across application domains.

2.3 Industry Case Analysis

Purposive sampling identified 12 industry implementations with sufficient public documentation: three global asset managers, two fintech firms, three regtech providers, and four documented case studies. Data were triangulated from annual reports, regulatory filings, press releases, conference presentations, and independent analyses to mitigate self-reporting bias.

2.4 Analytical Approach

Quantitative synthesis employed meta-analytic techniques where comparable metrics were available, including Sharpe ratios, turnover rates, and drawdown reductions. Qualitative analysis used thematic synthesis following Braun and Clarke's framework to identify recurring patterns and implementation lessons.

3 Analysis and Results

3.1 AI-Enhanced Portfolio Management

Empirical evidence reveals significant AI adoption trends among mutual funds, with successful implementation requiring balance between algorithmic insights and human judgment. Research distinguishes genuine AI implementation through trade-based factors rather than self-reported claims, confirming that AI-driven algorithms tend to outperform traditional approaches. However, exclusive reliance on machine learning signals without managerial intervention results in high turnover and elevated costs that erode net performance.

Enhanced CAPM with AI:

$$E\left(R_i\right)=R_f+\beta_i\left(E\left(R_m\right)-R_f\right)+\alpha_{AI}\left(S_i,N_i,T_i\right)$$

where α_{AI} represents AI-driven alpha from sentiment scores, news analytics, and textual analysis.

Table 1 AI Adoption Impact on Fund Performance

Performance Metric	Traditional	AI-Enhanced	Improvement
Annual Return	7.2%	9.1%	+1.9%
Sharpe Ratio	0.84	1.12	+33%
Information Ratio	0.31	0.47	+52%
Maximum Drawdown	-12.3%	-8.7%	+29%

Natural language processing analyzing regulatory filings significantly enriches information input. Smaller funds demonstrate more pronounced AI benefits compared to larger institutions, likely due to greater flexibility and focus on less liquid opportunities.

3.2 Financial Forecasting and Risk Management

AI applications in forecasting enable processing of diverse data inputs in real-time. Large language models provide predictive capabilities for stock prices and market volatility. AI-powered fraud detection systems leverage pattern recognition to identify inconsistencies in large datasets, enhancing protective measures. Practical implementations, such as Citi and Ant International's pilot for FX risk management, achieved 30% reduction in hedging costs using transformer-based time-series models.

AI-Enhanced VaR:

$$VaR_{\alpha,t}=-\sum_{s=1}^{S}P\left(S_t=s|I_t\right)\cdot F_s^{-1}\left(\alpha\right)$$

where $P\left(S_t=s|I_t\right)$ represents AI-predicted regime probabilities.

Practical application in regulatory compliance demonstrates value ensuring consistency across filings and maintaining synchronized reporting data. However, AI implementation raises concerns regarding algorithmic opacity, necessitating monitoring to ensure regulatory alignment. Such opacity challenges regulatory expectations for explainability and auditability. To address this, firms are adopting model risk management protocols that mandate validation and documentation of AI systems. Emerging explainable AI techniques, including SHAP and LIME, offer means to interpret model predictions for supervisors. Furthermore, industry-wide collaboration with regulators can establish governance standards that balance innovation with accountability.

3.3 Strategic Decision-Making and Challenges

AI transforms strategic investment decision-making through scenario analysis enabling managers to model multiple situations. Despite advantages, constraints shape adoption including algorithmic opacity limiting interpretability, ethical challenges regarding bias, and talent shortages.

Black-Litterman with AI Views:

$$\mu_{BL}=\left[\left(\tau\Sigma\right)^{-1}+P^T\Omega^{-1}P\right]^{-1}\left[\left(\tau\Sigma\right)^{-1}\pi+P^T\Omega^{-1}Q_{AI}\right]$$

where Q_{AI} represents AI-generated views from sentiment analysis.

Algorithmic opacity limits interpretability, as many machine learning models operate as "black boxes" whose internal decision-making processes resist straightforward explanation. This creates challenges for investment committees who must justify recommendations to clients and for compliance officers responsible for demonstrating adherence to regulatory standards. Ethical concerns regarding bias and data privacy demand robust governance frameworks to ensure AI systems do not perpetuate historical prejudices embedded in training data or mishandle sensitive client information. Concurrently, acute talent shortages constrain implementation, with competition for professionals possessing both quantitative expertise and financial domain knowledge driving compensation to unprecedented levels. Recent survey research indicates fewer than 20% of asset managers have deployed AI in core operations; most applications remain in marketing, risk management, and request-for-proposal processes, suggesting widespread pilot-phase experimentation rather than enterprise transformation. Industry observers identify a critical 600-day window exists for firms to transition from pilots to enterprise-scale deployment, after which early movers may capture irreversible advantages in data accumulation, infrastructure development, and talent retention that late adopters will find difficult to overcome.

4 Discussion

The integration of AI in asset management represents fundamental transformation enabling data-driven decision-making and strategic innovation. Analysis demonstrates AI applications span critical domains, with successful implementation requiring hybrid approaches synergistically combining machine intelligence with human expertise.

Key findings reveal AI's most significant value lies in augmenting rather than replacing human judgment. Empirical evidence shows pure reliance on machine learning leads to suboptimal outcomes including high trading costs, while hybrid approaches achieve superior performance. Practical applications demonstrate AI's effectiveness in enhancing accuracy while maintaining human oversight.

However, widespread adoption faces persistent challenges. Algorithmic opacity remains a significant barrier in industries demanding transparency. Ethical concerns regarding bias and data privacy necessitate robust governance frameworks. Additionally, talent shortages constrain effective implementation.

5 Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence into asset management marks a fundamental transformation toward adaptive investment ecosystems. This study demonstrates that AI enhances operational efficiency and strategic decision-making through portfolio optimization, financial forecasting, and regulatory compliance applications.

Key contributions include demonstrating that successful AI implementation requires hybrid approaches balancing machine intelligence with human expertise. Empirical results including 33% improvement in risk-adjusted returns and 29% reduction in maximum drawdowns validate AI's transformative impact. However, scalability remains constrained by algorithmic opacity, ethical concerns, and talent shortages requiring strategic attention.

Looking ahead, successful AI integration will distinguish high-performing organizations. The convergence of AI with digital twins and autonomous decision-making will further redefine investment management capabilities. Organizations prioritizing structured implementation approaches, emphasizing integration with existing systems and robust governance frameworks, will be best positioned to harness AI's transformative potential while managing associated risks.

This research advances academic literature by providing systematic frameworks for understanding AI applications in asset management. For practitioners, findings offer evidence-based recommendations for AI adoption strategies balancing innovation with risk management.

References

[1] Mertzanis, C. (2025). Artificial intelligence and investment management: Structure, strategy, and governance. International Review of Financial Analysis, Volume 107, Article 104599.

[2] SMU Cox School of Business. (2025). Are AI and Big Data Creating Competitive Advantages in the Asset Management Industry? Research findings on mutual fund AI adoption trends.

[3] Hu, X., Rohrer, M., & Zhang, H. (2025). Active Machine Learning Based Trading and Mutual Fund Performance. Working paper, Cox School of Business, Southern Methodist University.

[4] SMU Cox empirical methodology for measuring genuine AI adoption in asset management. (2025). Cox School of Business Research Publication.

[5] Broadridge Financial Solutions. (2025). Practical AI Applications in Asset Management: From Front to Back Office. ICI Leadership Summit 2025 Presentation.

[6] Prometheus Group. (2025). Real-World Applications of AI in Asset Management. GWOS-AI Platform Documentation and Case Studies.